



## FIDE ETHICS COMMISSION

The Ethics Commission (hereafter called the ETH), sitting in the following composition -

Chairman: Mr Francois Strydom  
Members: Mr Ion Serban Dobronauteanu  
Mr Rajesh Hari Joshi  
Mr Willy Iclicki

during the meetings held in Abu Dhabi on 3rd - 5<sup>th</sup> of September 2015, made the following -

### DECISION

**Case n. 1/2015: “Complaints of Mr. Mohtar, Mr. Elazaly, Mr. Hagazi and Mr. Al-Amair of the Egyptian Chess Federation against Dr. Elgendy, the president of the Egyptian Chess Federation”**

1. The ETH **notes** the absence of its member Mr Pedro Dominguez due to his unavailability for the meetings in Abu Dhabi.
2. The ETH **notes** that the four members present constitute a quorum for a valid decision.
3. The ETH **notes** the first complaint against Dr Elgendy for a possible violation of par. 2.1 and 2.2.1 - 2.2.11 of the FIDE Code of Ethics by the

alleged misrepresentation to the Egyptian Chess Federation of the true facts on the occasion of his travelling to Russia following an invitation of FIDE.

4. The ETH **notes** the second complaint against Dr Elgendy for a possible violation of par. 2.1 and 2.2.1 - 2.2.11 of the FIDE Code of Ethics by the alleged misrepresentation to the Egyptian Chess Federation of the true facts on the occasion of a sponsorship received towards a development program of the Egyptian Chess Federation.
5. The ETH **notes** the written objections received from Dr Elgendy regarding the receivability of the two complaints.
6. Upon due consideration of all the documents received from both the complainants and the respondent, the ETH **finds**:
  - 6.1 The complainants have no actual and direct personal interest in subject-matter of the complaint as it is alleged that it was the reputation of the Egyptian Chess Federation which was allegedly harmed by the actions of Dr Elgendy. Accordingly the complainants lack the necessary *locus standi* in front of the ETH.
  - 6.2 Furthermore, the complaints concern the governance and domestic affairs of the Egyptian Chess Federation and the ETH is not in the circumstances of this case empowered to intervene in the internal matters of a FIDE member federation.

6.3 Furthermore, the complaints do not disclose sufficiently that any violation of the FIDE Code of Ethics by Dr Elgendy has taken place.

7. The ETH, by unanimity of the members present, **decides** that:

7.1 The two complaints of Mr. Mohtar, Mr. Elazaly, Mr. Hagazi and Mr. Al-Amair are **not admissible**;

7.2 Case no. 1/2015 is **dismissed**.

8. The ETH **undertakes** to provide the complainants and the respondents with full written reasons for this decision as soon as possible.

9. The ETH **requests** the FIDE Secretariat to communicate without delay this decision to Mr; Mohtar, Mr. Elazaly, Mr. Hagazi and Mr. Al- Ameir and Dr Elgendy and further to publish this decision (without the reasons) on the FIDE website in the near future.

DATED ON THIS THE 5<sup>th</sup> DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2015

*F P Strydom*

---

CHAIRMAN  
FIDE ETHICS COMMISSION